1	Albert N. Kennedy, OSB No. 821429 (Lead Att	orney)
2	Direct Dial: (503) 802-2013 Facsimile: (503) 972-3713	
_	E-Mail: al.kennedy@tonkon.com	
3	Timothy J. Conway, OSB No. 851752	
4	Direct Dial: (503) 802-2027 Facsimile: (503) 972-3727	
5	E-Mail: tim.conway@tonkon.com Michael W. Fletcher , OSB No. 010448	
6	Direct Dial: (503) 802-2169	
6	Facsimile: (503) 972-3869 E-Mail: michael.fletcher@tonkon.com	
7	Ava L. Schoen, OSB No. 044072	
0	Direct Dial: (503) 802-2143	
8	Facsimile: (503) 972-3843 E-Mail: ava.schoen@tonkon.com	
9	TONKON TORP LLP	
10	1600 Pioneer Tower	
10	888 S.W. Fifth Avenue Portland, OR 97204	
11	,	
12	Attorneys for Debtor	
12		
13	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
14	DISTRICT OF OREGON	
15	In re	Case No. 13-64561-fra11
16	C & K Market, Inc.,	DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME
17	Debtor.	EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY
10		AGREEMENT)
18		EXPEDITED HEARING REQUESTED
19		EXI EDITED HEARING REQUESTED
20	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365(a), C & K Market, Inc., debtor and debtor-in-	
21	possession herein ("Debtor"), moves this Court (this "Motion") for entry of an order	
22	authorizing Debtor to assume Debtor's executory contract with Supervalu Holdings, Inc.	
23	("Supervalu"). In support of this Motion, Debtor incorporates the statements contained in the	
24	Declaration of Edward Hostmann in Support of First Day Pleadings (the "First Day	
25	Declaration") filed contemporaneously herewith	and further respectfully states as follows:
26		

Page 1 of 6 - DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

1	1. On November 19, 2013 (the "Petition Date"), Debtor filed a voluntary	
2	petition for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code.	
3	2. Debtor has continued in possession of its property and is continuing to	
4	operate and manage its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to Sections 1107(a) and	
5	1108 of Title 11 of the United States Code.	
6	3. No request has been made for the appointment of a trustee or	
7	examiner, and no official committee of unsecured creditors has been appointed in Debtor's	
8	case.	
9	4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
10	§§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.	
11	This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).	
12	5. The relief requested herein by Debtor is based on the Court's authority	
13	pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and 11 U.S.C. § 365.	
14	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	
15	6. C & K is a family owned grocery store company headquartered in	
16	Brookings, Oregon. Ray Nidiffer founded the company in 1956 with a single store in	
17	Brookings. Over the next 50 years, the Nidiffer family and its employees grew the company	
18	to a chain of 60 stores, operating mostly in small rural communities, with 41 stores in Oregon	
19	and 19 stores in northern California. The stores operate under the banners Ray's Food Place,	
20	Shop Smart and C & K Market ("Market"). Market employs over 2,300 employees,	
21	approximately 57% of whom are full-time. Market has an average biweekly payroll in	
22	excess of \$2,700,000 and provides family health insurance for all its full-time employees.	
23	7. Debtor is currently a party to a Supply Agreement with Supervalu	
24	identified on Exhibit 1.	
25	8. Supervalu is Debtor's primary supplier of grocery products and health	
26	and beauty products. Pursuant to the Supply Agreement, Supervalu makes certain product	

lines available to Debtor for sale in Debtor's stores and provides related services such as		
warehousing, marketing, and merchandising, and Debtor currently pays for all product and		
services pursuant to 17-day trade terms by the consent of Supervalu, without waiver of its		
rights, despite contractual 15-day payment terms. As a condition to Debtor's assumption of		
the Supply Agreement, Supervalu has agreed to continue to provide 17-day trade terms		
through October 31, 2014, with payment terms then reducing by three days in each annual		
period thereafter (i.e., 14-day payment terms commence November 1, 2014 and shall be		
reduced annually pursuant to the terms of the Supply Agreement).		
9. Prior to the bankruptcy filing, Debtor fully and promptly performed its		
obligations under the Supply Agreement and Debtor will continue to fully and promptly		
perform its obligations under the Supply Agreement, including payment, when due, of any		
pre-petition amounts outstanding.		
10. The Supply Agreement is critical to Debtor's business, and assumption		
of the Supply Agreement is in the best interest of Debtor and its estate.		
RELIEF REQUESTED		
11. By this Motion, to avoid undue delay and facilitate the continued		
operation of Debtor's business and the timely supply of critical goods under the Supply		
Agreement, Debtor seeks entry of an order authorizing Debtor's assumption of the Supply		
Agreement as modified by paragraph 8 above to continue the existing 17-day payment terms		
through October 31, 2014.		
BASIS FOR RELIEF		
12. Bankruptcy Code Section 365(a) states, in pertinent part, that a debtor		
may, subject to the court's approval, "assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired		
lease of the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 365(a).		
13. By enacting Bankruptcy Code Section 365(a), Congress intended to		
allow a debtor to assume those leases or contracts that benefit its estate and reject those that		

Page 3 of 6 - DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

1	are of no value or are burdensome. See Lockerby v. Sierra, 535 F.3d 1038, 1043 (9th Cir.
2	2008) ("Under 11 U.S.C. § 365(a), [debtors] are permitted to reject executory contracts and
3	unexpired leases that do not produce a benefit for the debtor's estate."); Cinicola v.
4	Scharffenberger, 248 F.3d 110, 119 (3d Cir. 2001); In re Sandman Assocs., LLC, 251 B.R.
5	473, 481 (W.D. Va. 2000) (Section 365 "allows the * * * debtor in possession to pick and
6	choose among contracts, assuming those that are favorable and rejecting those that are not").
7	14. A debtor's decision to assume or reject a contract or lease is governed
8	by the "business judgment" test or rule. See Group of Instit. Investors v. Chicago,
9	Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pac. R.R. Co., 318 U.S. 523, 550 (1943) ("the question [of
10	rejection] is one of business judgment"); In re Pomona Valley Medical Group, Inc. 476 F.3d
11	665 (9th Cir. 2007) ("a bankruptcy court need engage in 'only a cursory review of a [debtor-
12	in-possession's] decision to reject the contract. Specifically, a bankruptcy court applies the
13	business judgment rule to evaluate a [debtor-in-possession's] rejection decision." (Internal
14	citations omitted.)
15	15. The business judgment test dictates that a court should approve a
16	debtoris desision to assume a contract unless the desision is the madret of had faith on succession
	debtor's decision to assume a contract unless the decision is the product of bad faith or gross
17	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del.
17 18	
	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del.
18	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result
18 19	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result of bad faith, whim or caprice); <i>In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC</i> , 2010 WL 5071354,
18 19 20	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result of bad faith, whim or caprice); <i>In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC</i> , 2010 WL 5071354, *2, Nos. 08–61570–11, 08–61571–11, 08–61572–11, 08–61573–11, CV–09–48–BU–SEH
18 19 20 21	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result of bad faith, whim or caprice); <i>In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC</i> , 2010 WL 5071354, *2, Nos. 08–61570–11, 08–61571–11, 08–61572–11, 08–61573–11, CV–09–48–BU–SEH (D. Mont. Dec. 7, 2010) (same). Thus, when applying the "business judgment" rule, courts
18 19 20 21 22	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result of bad faith, whim or caprice); <i>In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC</i> , 2010 WL 5071354, *2, Nos. 08–61570–11, 08–61571–11, 08–61572–11, 08–61573–11, CV–09–48–BU–SEH (D. Mont. Dec. 7, 2010) (same). Thus, when applying the "business judgment" rule, courts defer to a debtor's decision and generally will not second guess a debtor's judgment on
18 19 20 21 22 23	abuse of discretion. <i>In re HQ Global Holdings, Inc.</i> , 290 B.R. 507, 511 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (upholding debtor's decision to reject an agreement where decision was not the result of bad faith, whim or caprice); <i>In re Yellowstone Mountain Club, LLC</i> , 2010 WL 5071354, *2, Nos. 08–61570–11, 08–61571–11, 08–61572–11, 08–61573–11, CV–09–48–BU–SEH (D. Mont. Dec. 7, 2010) (same). Thus, when applying the "business judgment" rule, courts defer to a debtor's decision and generally will not second guess a debtor's judgment on operational matters. <i>See In re Crystalin, L.L.C.</i> , 293 B.R. 455, 464 (B.A.P. 8th Cir. 2003)

Page 4 of 6 - DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

1	whether the rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease is appropriate under section	
2	365(a)."); In re Summit Land Co., 13 B.R. 310, 315 (Bankr. D. Utah 1981) ("approval unde	
3	Section 365(a), if required, except in extraordinary situations, should be granted as a matter	
4	of course").	
5	16. Debtor's decision to assume the Supply Agreement is supported by its	
6	sound and prudent business judgment and is not based on bad faith, whim or caprice in any	
7	way. Indeed, Debtor values its business relationship with Supervalu, believes the Supply	
8	Agreement is fair and reasonable, and submits that the present and future business needs of	
9	Debtor require continued performance of the Supply Agreement.	
10	17. Accordingly, the requested relief is in Debtor's best interest and in the	
11	best interest of its estate and creditors and, therefore, should be granted.	
12	18. Debtor is not in default of the Supply Agreement. Accordingly, no	
13	"cure of defaults" is required for Debtor's assumption of the Supply Agreement other than	
14	payment, when due under the existing 17-day trade terms, of any outstanding pre-petition	
15	amounts due in connection with the Supply Agreement, which Debtor hereby requests	
16	authority to pay. Further, Debtor does not seek to modify or alter the terms of the Supply	
17	Agreement except as noted in paragraph 8 above, and Debtor is not seeking to assign the	
18	Supply Agreement.	
19	19. Bankruptcy Rule 6003(c) provides that an order authorizing an	
20	assumption of an executory contract may not be entered for 21 days unless the relief is	
21	necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm. Debtor submits that ample cause exists	
22	to justify the immediate entry of this Order.	
23	* * *	
24	* * *	
25	* * *	
26	* * *	

Page 5 of 6 - DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

1	WHEREFORE, Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter an order,	
2	substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 2 , granting the relief requested in the	
3	Motion and such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.	
4	DATED this 19th day of November, 2013.	
5	TONKON TORP LLP	
6		
7	By <u>/s/ Albert N. Kennedy</u> Albert N. Kennedy, OSB No. 821429	
8	Timothy J. Conway, OSB No. 851752 Michael W. Fletcher, OSB No. 010448	
9	Ava L. Schoen, OSB No. 044072 Attorneys for Debtor	
10	Audincys for Debior	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

Page 6 of 6 - DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

EXHIBIT 1

SUPPLY AGREEMENT

Supply Agreement between C & K Market, Inc. and Supervalu Holdings, Inc. dated March 11, 2011; Amendment No. 1 to Supply Agreement dated April 6, 2012; Amendment No. 2 to Supply Agreement dated February 18, 2013; Amendment No. 3 to Supply Agreement dated April 1, 2013.

EXHIBIT 2

PROPOSED FORM OF ORDER

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

In re	Case No.	
C & K Market, Inc., Debtor.	ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)	

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon Debtor's Motion to Assume Executory Contract (Supervalu Supply Agreement) (the "Motion") [Dkt. #____]; the Court having reviewed the Motion and the First Day Declaration and having considered the statements of counsel and the evidence adduced with respect to the Motion at a hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); and the Court having found that (1) the Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, (2) venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409, (3) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), and (4) notice of the Motion and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances; and after due deliberation the Court having determined that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of Debtor, its estate and the creditors; and good and sufficient cause having been shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Page 1 of 2 - ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT (SUPERVALU SUPPLY AGREEMENT)

Tonkon Torp LLP 888 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600 Portland, Oregon 97204

- 1. Debtor's Motion is granted and Debtor's executory contract with Supervalu Holdings, Inc. set forth in the Motion is hereby assumed by Debtor in accordance with the terms set forth in the Motion, including the payment terms as modified by paragraph 8 of the Motion;
- 2. Debtor is hereby authorized to pay any outstanding pre-petition amounts due in connection with the Supply Agreement, as and when such amounts become due; and
 - 3. This Order shall be effective immediately upon entry.

###

I certify that I have complied with the requirements of LBR 9021-1(a)(2)(A).

Presented by:

TONKON TORP LLP

By_

Albert N. Kennedy, OSB No. 821429 Timothy J. Conway, OSB No. 851752 Michael W. Fletcher, OSB No. 010448 Ava L. Schoen, OSB No. 044072 888 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 1600

Portland, OR 97204-2099 Telephone: 503-221-1440 Facsimile: 503-274-8779

E-mail: al.kennedy@tonkon.com

tim.conway@tonkon.com michael.fletcher@tonkon.com ava.schoen@tonkon.com

Attorneys for Debtor

cc: List of Interested Parties